	_	_	_
NITTED	CTATEC	DISTRICT	COLDE
		1 11 × 1 K 1(1	(() R

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Energy & Environmenta	ιL	8	
INTERNATIONAL, L.C., et al	l.,	8	
		8	
Plair	ntiffs,	8	
		8	
versus		8	CIVIL ACTION H-03-206
		8	
		8	
Conoco, Inc., et al.,		8	
		8	
Defe	endants.	8	

Opinion on Dismissal

Had Conoco's patent-infringement claim been unwarranted on its face, the case never would have gone to trial. The \$9-million judgment substantiates Conoco's argument that its claim was neither objectively baseless nor subjectively motivated by a desire to impede competition, except, of course, as allowed by the patent laws.

For these reasons, Energy & Environmental International's suit against Conoco for antitrust violations will be dismissed.

Signed June 22, 2005, at Houston, Texas.

United States District Judge